INDIANAPOLIS MARION COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT CONSOLIDATION COMMITTEE

DATE: June 28, 2006

CALLED TO ORDER: 4:30 p.m.

ADJOURNED: 4:34 p.m.

ATTENDANCE

ATTENDING MEMBERS
Lonnell Conley, Chairman
Ron Gibson
Dane Mahern
Lynn McWhirter
Marilyn Pfisterer
Lincoln Plowman
Joanne Sanders
William Oliver

ABSENT MEMBERS

AGENDA

PROPOSAL NO. 170, 2006 - an amendment to General Ordinance No. 110, 2005 establishing a consolidated law enforcement agency allowing the Minority Leader to appoint a designee to serve on the Advisory Committee
“Tabled”         Vote:  4-3

PROPOSAL NO. 278, 2006 - amends the Code to extend the date on which the metropolitan law enforcement agency shall provide law enforcement services for the consolidated city from January 1, 2007 through and including January 1, 2008
“Tabled”         Vote:  4-3

PROPOSAL NO. 279, 2006 - amends the Code to provide for pay parity on the effective date of the Metropolitan Law Enforcement Agency pursuant to City-County General Ordinance No. 110, 2005 (Proposal No. 627, 2005)
“Tabled”         Vote:  4-3
The Indianapolis Marion County Law Enforcement Committee of the City-County Council met on Wednesday, June 28, 2006. Chairman Lonnell Conley called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m. with the following members present: Ron Gibson, Lynn McWhirter, Marilyn Pfisterer, Lincoln Plowman, Joanne Sanders and William Oliver. Dane Mahern arrived shortly thereafter. General Counsel Aaron Haith represented Council staff.

**PROPOSAL NO. 170, 2006** - an amendment to General Ordinance No. 110, 2005 establishing a consolidated law enforcement agency allowing the Minority Leader to appoint a designee to serve on the Advisory Committee

**PROPOSAL NO. 278, 2006** - amends the Code to extend the date on which the metropolitan law enforcement agency shall provide law enforcement services for the consolidated city from January 1, 2007 through and including January 1, 2008

**PROPOSAL NO. 279, 2006** - amends the Code to provide for pay parity on the effective date of the Metropolitan Law Enforcement Agency pursuant to City-County General Ordinance No. 110, 2005 (Proposal No. 627, 2005)

Councillor Sanders stated that all three of these proposals deal directly with the consolidation ordinance passed by the Council last year. Every member serving on these various transition committees is making a concerted effort and working through this process to see if it is viable by December 31 of this year. She said that before changes are made to that ordinance, these committees need to be given time to see if it works. She moved, seconded by Councillor Oliver, to “Table” Proposal Nos. 170, 278 and 279, 2006.

Chairman Conley called for a vote on the motion to “Table.” Councillor Plowman asked if there is discussion allowed. Councillor Sanders said that no discussion is allowed on a motion to “Table.” The motion to “Table” Proposal Nos. 170, 278 and 279, 2006 carried by a vote of 4-3, with Councillors McWhirter, Pfisterer and Plowman casting the negative votes.

[Clerk’s Note: Councillor Mahern arrived at 4:32 p.m.]

Councillor Oliver asked when the next update will be presented to the Committee on the Consolidation process. Chairman Conley stated that an update will be presented at the next regularly scheduled meeting.
There being no further business, and upon motion duly made, the meeting was adjourned at 4:34 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

______________________________
Lonell Conley, Chairman

LC/ag